
*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO 4367
CONNECTION TEL 916152422221
SUBADDRESS
CONNECTION ID
ST. TIME 09/13 11:16
USAGE T 00'41
PGS. 2
RESULT OK



United States Patent and Trademark C


TELECOPY / FACSIM
TRANSMISSION

Date: 09/13/01

To: Ms. Emily A. House
(Name)Wadley and Patterson
(Organization)(615) 242-2221
(FAX Number)From: M. CEPERLEY
(Name)(703) 308-4239
(Telephone Number)

Number of Pages 2, Including This Page.

If you have not received all pages of this transmission, please contact this Office
soon as possible at the following telecopier number



United States Patent and Trademark C

TELECOPY / FACSIM TRANSMISSION

Date: 09/13/01

To: Ms. Emily A. House

(Name)

Wadley and Patterson

(Organization)

(615) 242-2221

(FAX Number)

From: M. CEPERLEY

(Name)

(703) 308-4239

(Telephone Number)

Number of Pages 2, Including This Page.

If you have not received all pages of this transmission, please contact this Office soon as possible at the following telecopier number:

(x) The PTO FAX machines may be accessed at (703) ~~308-3014~~

(x) The receptionist for Group ¹⁶⁰⁰~~100~~ may be reached during normal business hours at 703 - 308-0196.

To: Ms. Emily Shouse
Re: PCT/US01/16947 (VU0178 N7366)

September 13, 2001

Ms. Shouse:

Before I can address the Lack of Unity of Invention and prepare a Search Report for this case, I need to establish a starting point for sorting out the inventions in this application. I am not at this point suggesting any claim amendments but I do need the following information from you for clarification in order to proceed. Your appropriate response will allow me to proceed with the establishment of a lack of unity and a search of this case.

1) In claim 1, I will presume that R3 is, in fact, the terminal group on the structure (1b) i.e. Y does not exist. However, I need you to provide me with some sort of a definition of X; otherwise the structure of claim 1 is an unsearchable fragment.

2) Similarly, a definition of R will need to be indicated for the compounds of claim 6 (which otherwise are unsearchable fragments).

3) The "compound" of claims 15-19 is not the same as the "nanocrystal compound" of claim 7 (from which it depends) which must have a nanocrystal attached. Please clarify.

4) It is not clear if the "compounds" of claims 20-22 are meant to be fragments i.e. contain open points of attachment, or whether the open points are meant to be methyl groups. Please clarify.

5) Please advise as to how claims 23 and 24 are related to the remainder of the claims. For example, the compounds of claims 23 and 24 do not contain any thiol residue which would be required for the linker arms of claim 1 (R3 contains a -SH moiety). Are these compounds intermediates?

em-16².

Thank you for your help. An informal response would be fine.

Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley *MZC*
Primary Exr. AU 1641
(703) 308-4239
Fax direct to my desk: (703) 746-5240

c:\docs\actions\16947.pct